

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/078,573	Applicant(s) ECHIZEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Shefali D Patel	Art Unit 2621	

All Participants:

(1) Shefali D Patel.

(2) Mr. Melvin Klaus (Reg. No. 22,466).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 February 2005

Time: 2:00pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1-19

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called Mr. Klaus with regard to election over the phone but Mr. Klaus kindly asked the examiner to mail the restriction.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)